WASTE MANAGEMENT 2016

8th International Conference on Waste Management and the Environment

7 – 9 June 2016 València, Spain

Organised by Wessex Institute, UK

Sponsored by WIT Transactions on Ecology and the Environment International Journal of Sustainable Development and Planning

CALL FOR PAPERS

wessex.ac.uk/waste2016



Presented by Rolf Sjöblom

Stabilization of lead in incineration fly ash by ageing and carbonatation in contact with moisture and air

R. Sjöblom¹, A. Zietek², E. Gaude³, J. Fagerqvist⁴ and K. Karlfeldt Fedje⁵

¹Waste Science & Technology, Luleå University of Technology, Luleå, Sweden / Tekedo AB, Nyköping, Sweden.
²Jönköping Energi AB, Jönköping, Sweden.
³Miljöhantering i Jönköping AB, , Jönköping, Sweden.

 ⁴Avfall Sverige - Swedish Waste Management and Recycling Association, Malmö, Sweden.
 ⁵Water Environment Technology, Chalmers University of Technology, Gothenburg, Sweden / Renova AB, Gothenburg, Sweden.

Underlying permit question

- Jönköping Energi AB in Sweden operates an incinerator that burns domestic and industrial waste
- It generates fly ash that contains the "actual" fly ash + lime added to absorb HCI and SO₂
- They want to deposit this residue at their local landfill operated by *Miljöhantering i Jönköping AB*
- The landfill has a permit for disposal of non-hazardous waste

Fly ash and other ashes



Fractional condensation of volatile elements and compounds. Partial melting of the ash and consequent formation of reactive glass phase

How can the ash qualify for disposal at a landfill for nonhazardous waste?

As non-hazardous waste	In which case the fly ash can be deposited at a landfill for non-hazardous waste without any so-called "basic characterization"
As hazardous waste	In which case the fly ash will have to qualify under the leach and other criteria in the legislation on acceptance for landfilling

Classification as hazardous of non- hazardous waste	The content of chemical substances and their respective hazardous properties
Acceptance for landfilling, detaled rules	Mainly data for various elements from batch and column leach tests

The case of fly ash from Jönköping

- Classified as non-hazardous waste
- => OK for the landfilling in question
- But the leach rate for lead almost 100 times higher than the leach limit – if it would have applied
- Everything that is legal is not appropriate
- What to do? (Appart from having a good communication with the Authority)

"Equilibrium conditions"

- Actually, ash ages and reacts when contacted with constituents of air (water, oxygen and carbon dioxide)
- According to the standards; the leach tests are not applicable for waste that reacts with water
- Legislation on acceptance states that "equilibrium conditions" should be sought when leach tests are to be carried out

Legal and research questions

Legal question	Would the leaching of lead reduce to "appropriate" levels if testing were done under more equilibrium-like conditions?
	How would contact with moisture and air for a prolonged time influence the leaching of lead?
questions	Associated question: How would such ageing influence the leaching of chlorides?

Scope

- Information search
 - Major elements
 - Minor elements with ionic radii similar to iron
 - Lead
 - Chlorine
- Experiments
 - Laboratory scale batch tests
 - Laboratory scale column tests
 - Pilot scale tests
- Analysis discussion and conclusions

Example of analysis of trace elements in fly ash from Jönköping Energi AB, ppm by dry weight.

Ämne	Fly ash	
antimony	757	Figured
arsenic	50	as
barium	1050	D
lead	1950	Dry content
cobalt	12.1	S ₁ O ₂
copper	630	Al_2O_3
chromium	229	CaO
molybdenum	13.1	Fe ₂ O ₃
nickel	54.1	K ₂ O
vanadium	27.7	MgO
tungsten	10.1	MnO
zinc	8620	Na ₂ O
cadmium	83.1	P_2O_5
mercury	8.02	TiO ₂

Example of analysis of major elements in fly ash from Jönköping Energi AB, ppm by dry weight.

Fly ash

68.1

10.3

3.52

31.7

2.1

1.81

1.46

0.0947

3.7

0.539 1.03

Major	e	lem	ents
-------	---	-----	------



Example of relative proportions of the major elements in fly ash from Jönköping Energi AB.

Phases in ash after maturation ≠ from those formed in the furnace

Table 3. Minerals phases identified in incinerator ash after ageing [24]. Less abundant phases are labelled with italic fonts.

Silicate		Oxide	
Melilite	(Ca,Na) ₂₍ Al,Mg)(Si,AL) ₂ O ₇	Hematite	Fe ₂ O ₃
Wollastonite	CaSiO ₃	Magnetite	Fe ₃ O ₄
Clinopyroxene	(Ca,Na)(Fe,Mg,Al)(Si,Al) ₂ O ₆	Carbonate	
Plagioclas	(Ca,Na)Al(Al,Si)Si ₂ O ₈	Calcite	CaCO ₃
K-Feldspar	(K,Na)(AlSi ₃ O ₈)		
Biotite	K(Mg,Fe) ₃ (Al,Fe)Si ₃ O ₁₀ (OH,F) ₂	Hydroxide	
Muscovite	$KAI_2Si_3AI)O_{10}(OH,F)_2$	Portlandite	Ca(OH) ₂
Montmorillonite	(Na,Ca) _{0,3} (Al,Mg)₂SiO ₁₀ (OH)₂·nH₂O	Goethite	FeO(OH)
Hydrate		Boemite	AIO(OH)
Hydrocalumite	Ca ₂ AI(OH) ₆ [CI _{1-x} (OH) _x]·3H ₂ O	Gibbsite	AI(OH) ₃
Hydrated Gehlenite	$Ca_2AI_2SiO_7\cdot 2H_2O$	Phosphate	
Sulphate		Apatite	Ca(PO4) ₃
Anhydrite	CaSO ₄		(CI,F,OH)
Ettringite	$Ca_{6}AI_{2}(SO_{4})_{3}(OH)_{12} \cdot 26H_{2}O$		
Gypsum	$CaSO_4 \cdot 2H_2O$		

Often assumed

- That minor elements form phases similarly to the major elements
- I. e. phases in low abundance, but in which the minor elements are major elements

Why?

- Difficult to "see" phases that occur in low abundance using existing instrumentation
- Commercially available computer codes for thermodynamical calculations do not include solid solution
- Obstacles against interdisciplinary communication

Well-known among mineralogists e t c since decades



Minor elements are dispersed – atom by atom – in the phases formed by the major elements

Silicates and oxides are quite extraordinary in their ability to incorporate many different elements and, at the same time, in having extremely large pressure and temperature stabilities. MgO and 'FeO' and their solid solutions are stable at ambient conditions and also at pressures and temperatures corresponding to Earth's lower mantle. Many silicate garnets are stable at 1 atm and compositionally complex garnets are also stable in the Earth's transition zone.

Ox no	I	II				IV
Spin		low	high	low	high	
Na	1,02					
K	1,38					
Mg		0,	,72			
Ca		1,	,00			
AI				0,	53	
Ti		0,	86*	0,	67*	0,61
V		0,	79	0,	64	0,63
Cr		0,73 0,82		0,	0,62	
Mn		0,67	0,82	0,58	0,65	
Fe		0,61	0,77	0,55	0,65	
Co		0,65	0,74	0,53	0,61	
Ni		0,70		0,56	0,60	
Cu		0,73				
Zn		0,75				
Мо						0,70
Cd		0,95				
As				0,	58	
Sb				0,	76	
Pb		1,18				
Cs	1,70					
Ba		1,36				

Ionic radii for trace elements and some other elements, Angstrom / Ångström

* unusual oxidation number

Goldsmith's rules

- Difference in ionic radius </≈ 15 %
- Difference in charge \leq 1 unit
- Moderate difference in electronegativity

- => Cr, Ni, Cu, Zn ⇔ solid solution with Ferich phases
- => ≈ as inaccessible as Fe
- But what about Pb and Cl?

Ox no	I	II				IV
Spin		low	high	low	high	
Na	1,02					
K	1,38					
Mg		0,	72			
Ca		1,	,00			
AI				0,	53	
Ti		0,	86*	0,	67*	0,61
V		0,	79	0,	64	0,63
Cr		0,73 0,82		0,	0,62	
Mn		0,67	0,82	0,58	0,65	
Fe		0,61	0,77	0,55	0,65	
Со		0,65	0,74	0,53	0,61	
Ni		0,70		0,56	0,60	
Cu		0,73				
Zn		0,75				
Мо						0,70
Cd		0,95				
As				0,	58	
Sb				0,	76	
Pb		1,18				
Cs	1,70					
Ba		1,36				

Ionic radii for trace elements and some other elements, Angstrom / Ångström

For Pb ⇔ details in the chemical structures

* unusual oxidation number

Prerequisites for packing oxygen = large, cationic element = small



Figure 7.2 Examples of closest packing of anions around cations at increasing values of the radius ratio. When $R_c/R_a > 0.155$, three anions fit around the cation and when $R_c/R_a > 0.414$, fourfold coordination occurs. Coordination numbers 6, 8, and 12 are also important in mineral structures and are pictured in Figure 7.4.

Figure 7.4 Possible arrangements of ions in crystals for limiting values of the radius ratios and different values of the coordination number.

Calcite and aragonite, both = $CaCO_3$

Calcite

6-fold co-ordination Small position Substitution with: Fe, Mg, Cr, Ni, Cu, Zn

0.414-0.732



Octahedral

Aragonite

9-fold co-ordination Large position Substitution with: Ba, Sr, Pb



Aragonite structure, stereoscopic view





Figure 20. Stereoscopic projection of the hexgonal unit cell for dolomite (a = 4.81 Å, c = 16.00 Å), prepared using the program ORTEP (Johnson, 1965). c is vertical; compare with Figure 4 for the disposition of axes. Cation layers occur at intervals of 1/6 c; carbonate layers at levels $\pm z_0$, 1/3 $\pm z_0$, 2/3 $\pm z_0$.



Chlorine

- Although frequently assumed, not all chlorine may be leached in the form of chloride
- Less soluble phases may form, e. g. $- 3CaO \cdot Al_2O_3 \cdot CaCl_2 \cdot 10H_2O$, and $- 3CaO \cdot Fe_2O_3 \cdot CaCl_2 \cdot 10H_2O$
- Both of them are broken down by carbonatation, thus making all chlorine leachable

Conclusions from the information search

- Exposure to water, oxygen and carbon dioxide in the air will lead to
 - Formation of hydroxides
 - Oxidation
 - Carbonatation
- Fates for the trace elements
 - Remain in original forms, e.g. in soil particles
 - Go into solid solution
 - Form phases in which they are major elements
- Pb may go into solid solution with aragonite
- CI may become "fully" water soluble

Considerations for the testing

- Differentiate between hydration, oxidation and carbonatation
- Carbonatation may require:
 - Open pore structures to allow access of the carbon dioxide in the air (0.04 %)
 - Presence of free water to catalyse the carbonatation reaction
- CaCl₂ is hygroscopic out as well as deliquesce during contact with air

Batch tests

- Cans with moistened and granulated ash
- Cans subjected to the following variations
 - Moisture
 - Time
 - Access to air
 - None
 - Intermittently to allow essentially only oxygen
 - All the time to facilitate carbonatation
- Batch leaching & chemical analysis of leachant

Batch tests, results

- Moderate reduction in Pb leaching on contact with only moisture and oxygen
- Moderate sensitivity with regard to moisture content
- Leaching of Pb decreased monotonously over a period of months for samples with full access of air
- Good basis for column tests

Column test



- Moistened and granulated ash
- In a column exposed to a flow of air
- Air being bubbled through water at a temperature lower than the ambient
- Upper and lower half of column subjected to batch leaching & chemical analysis of leachant

Results of column test

- Leaching of Pb decreased by almost three orders of magnitude in comparison with fresh ash
- Leaching of Sb increased by somewhat more than one order of magnitude





Results of pilot scale test

- Leaching of Pb decreased by almost two orders of magnitude in comparison with fresh ash
- Leaching of Sb did not increase

Some selected leach data, mg/kg



Conclusions: Literature search Pb

- Forms of occurrence for Pb:
 - Metastable high temperature form
 - Solid solution
 - Minor phases in which Pb is a major element
- Solid solution may be favoured in relation to "minor phase" <= aragonite structure
- A likely explanation is that it follows calcium from e. g. CaCl(OH) to CaCO₃
- Simultaneously, all CI becomes readily susceptible to leaching

Conclusions experiments

- Pb leaching decreases to low levels on carbonation
- The leaching of Sb incleases
- Findings in agreement with data from China published during the course of the work

Carbonatation in air – Chinese study



Carbonatation in air – Chinese study, contd.



Industrial processes for carbonatation

- A chemical process is in operation in South Korea
- A chemical process is presently being developed in Norway
- In situ carbonatation practiced for decades on a very large scale in the bentonite industry

"Farming" at American Colloids Co in Wyoming



In conclusion

- Support for stabilisation of lead through carbonatation
- => landfilling at a site for non-hazardous waste
 - Not only in compliance with the legislation
 - But also appropriate
- Carbonatation in situ may well be preferable to that in a chemical process plant